

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/538,864	Applicant(s) CHO ET AL.
	Examiner Juliet C. Switzer	Art Unit 1634

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Juliet C. Switzer. (3) _____.

(2) Michael Ward. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 December 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 86.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Ward indicated that the notice of allowance failed to indicate that claim 86 (pending in after-final amendment) is allowed. The examiner indicated a new notice of allowability would be mailed which indicates claim 86 is allowed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

~~THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.~~

NO RESPONSE NECESSARY GS

Gary Benzion
GARY BENZION, PH.D
SUPERVISORY/PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

JMB
Examiner's signature, if required